

GENERATING FUNCTION FOR GL_n -INVARIANT DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS IN THE SKEW CAPELLI IDENTITY

TAKASHI HASHIMOTO

ABSTRACT. Let Alt_n be the vector space of all alternating $n \times n$ complex matrices, on which the complex general linear group GL_n acts by $x \mapsto gxg^t$. The aim of this paper is to show that Pfaffian of a certain matrix whose entries are multiplication operators or derivations acting on polynomials on Alt_n provides a generating function for the GL_n -invariant differential operators that play a role in the skew Capelli identity, with coefficients the Hermite polynomials.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Alt_n be the vector space consisting of all alternating $n \times n$ complex matrices, and $\mathbb{C}[\text{Alt}_n]$ the $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra of all polynomial functions on Alt_n . Then the complex general linear group GL_n acts on Alt_n by

$$g \cdot x := gxg^t \quad (g \in GL_n, x \in \text{Alt}_n), \quad (1.1)$$

from which one can define a representation π of GL_n on $\mathbb{C}[\text{Alt}_n]$ by

$$\pi(g)f(x) := f(g^{-1} \cdot x) \quad (g \in GL_n, f \in \mathbb{C}[\text{Alt}_n]). \quad (1.2)$$

For $x = (x_{i,j})_{i,j=1,\dots,n} \in \text{Alt}_n$, with $x_{ji} = -x_{ij}$, let $M := (x_{i,j})_{i,j}$ and $D := (\partial_{i,j})_{i,j}$ be the alternating $n \times n$ matrices whose (i, j) -th entries are given by the multiplication operator $x_{i,j}$ and the derivation $\partial_{i,j} := \partial/\partial x_{i,j}$, respectively. Then the representation $d\pi$ of $\mathfrak{gl}_n$, the Lie algebra of GL_n , induced from π is given by

$$d\pi(E_{i,j}) = - \sum_{k=1}^n x_{k,j} \partial_{k,i} \quad (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n) \quad (1.3)$$

where $E_{i,j}$ denotes the matrix unit of size $n \times n$ which is a basis for $\mathfrak{gl}_n$.

Let us denote the ring of differential operators on Alt_n with polynomial coefficients by $\mathcal{PD}(\text{Alt}_n)$, and its subring consisting of GL_n -invariant differential operators by $\mathcal{PD}(\text{Alt}_n)^{GL_n}$. Moreover, for a positive integer n , $[n]$ denotes the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, and for a real number x , $[x]$ the greatest integer not exceeding x . Then the following fact is known:

Theorem ([4]). For $k = 0, 1, \dots, [n/2]$, let

$$\Gamma_k := \sum_{I \in \binom{[n]}{2k}} \text{Pf}(x_I) \text{Pf}(\partial_I), \quad (1.4)$$

Date: February 4, 2009.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 17B45, 15A15.

Key words and phrases. skew Capelli identity, GL_n -invariant differential operator, generating function, noncommutative Pfaffian, Hermite polynomial.

where the summation is taken over all $I \subset [n]$ such that its cardinality is $2k$, and x_I and ∂_I denote submatrices of M and D consisting of $x_{i,j}$ and $\partial_{i,j}$ with $i, j \in I$, respectively. Then $\{\Gamma_k\}_{k=0,1,\dots,\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$ forms a generating system for $\mathcal{PD}(\text{Alt}_n)^{\text{GL}_n}$,

The aim of this paper is to find a generating function for $\{\Gamma_k\}$.

Following [6, 8], let us consider an alternating matrix with entries in $\mathcal{PD}(\text{Alt}_n)$ given by:

$$\widetilde{\Phi}(u) := \left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 0 & x_{1,2} & \cdots & x_{1,n} & & & & u \\ -x_{1,2} & 0 & \ddots & \vdots & & & & u \\ \vdots & \ddots & 0 & x_{n-1,n} & \ddots & & & \\ -x_{1,n} & \cdots & -x_{n-1,n} & 0 & u & & & \\ \hline & & & -u & 0 & \partial_{n-1,n} & \cdots & \partial_{1,n} \\ & & & & -\partial_{n-1,n} & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & & \vdots & \ddots & 0 & \partial_{1,2} \\ -u & & & & -\partial_{1,n} & \cdots & -\partial_{1,2} & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

with $u \in \mathbb{C}$ a parameter. We remark that the matrix $\widetilde{\Phi}(u)$ (or rather, $\Phi(u)$ given below) naturally appears if we regard GL_n and Alt_n as a subgroup of the complex special orthogonal group SO_{2n} by the map (2.4) below and the holomorphic tangent space at the origin of the corresponding Hermitian symmetric space of noncompact type, respectively (see [2] for details, though we only deal with its commutative counterpart therein, i.e. the principal symbol).

Our main result of this paper is the following. Pfaffian $\text{Pf}(\widetilde{\Phi}(u))$ of $\widetilde{\Phi}(u)$ (see the next paragraph for the definition of Pfaffian) provides a generating function for $\{\Gamma_k\}$, with coefficient being monic polynomial in u of degree $n - 2k$, which is essentially equal to the Hermite polynomial, i.e.

$$\text{Pf}(\widetilde{\Phi}(u)) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \left(-\frac{i}{2}\right)^{n-2k} H_{n-2k}(iu) \Gamma_k, \quad (1.5)$$

where $i = \sqrt{-1}$ and $H_m(x)$ denotes the Hermite polynomial of degree m . Note that the minor summation formula of Pfaffian with commutative entries (cf. [3]) immediately implies that the principal symbol $\sigma(\text{Pf}(\widetilde{\Phi}(u)))$ of $\text{Pf}(\widetilde{\Phi}(u))$ can be expanded as

$$\sigma(\text{Pf}(\widetilde{\Phi}(u))) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} u^{n-2k} \gamma_k,$$

where γ_k denotes the principal symbol of Γ_k .

In general, for an associative algebra $\mathcal{A}$ over a field $\mathbb{K}$ of characteristic 0, which is not necessarily commutative, Pfaffian $\text{Pf}(\mathbf{A})$ of an alternating matrix $\mathbf{A} = (A_{i,j})$, $A_{j,i} = -A_{i,j} \in \mathcal{A}$, is defined by

$$\text{Pf}(\mathbf{A}) = \frac{1}{2^n n!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2n}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) A_{\sigma(1), \sigma(2)} A_{\sigma(3), \sigma(4)} \cdots A_{\sigma(2n-1), \sigma(2n)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2n} \\ \sigma(2i-1) < \sigma(2i)}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) A_{\sigma(1), \sigma(2)} A_{\sigma(3), \sigma(4)} \cdots A_{\sigma(2n-1), \sigma(2n)}.$$

(cf. [7]). If the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ happens to be commutative, then this reduces to:

$$\text{Pf}(\mathbf{A}) = \sum_{\sigma} \text{sgn}(\sigma) A_{\sigma(1), \sigma(2)} A_{\sigma(3), \sigma(4)} \cdots A_{\sigma(2n-1), \sigma(2n)},$$

where the summation is taken over those $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2n}$ satisfying

$$\sigma(2i-1) < \sigma(2i) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(1) < \sigma(3) < \cdots < \sigma(2n-1).$$

When dealing with Pfaffian, however, it is sometimes convenient to consider square matrices alternating along the anti-diagonal, which we call *anti-alternating* for short in this paper. Note that a $2n \times 2n$ matrix $\mathbf{X}$ is anti-alternating if and only if $\mathbf{X}J_{2n}$ is alternating, where J_{2n} denotes the nondegenerate $2n \times 2n$ symmetric matrix with 1's on the anti-diagonal and 0's elsewhere. We simply denote $\text{Pf}(\mathbf{X}J_{2n})$ by $\text{Pf}(\mathbf{X})$ when there is no danger of confusion. Moreover, adopting the convention that $-i$ means $2n+1-i$ for $i = 1, \dots, 2n$, a square matrix $\mathbf{X} = (X_{i,j})$ is anti-alternating if and only if $X_{i,j} = -X_{-j,-i}$ for all i, j . Thus, we will consider the anti-alternating matrix given by

$$\Phi(u) := \tilde{\Phi}(u)J_{2n} \tag{1.6}$$

and calculate its Pfaffian in what follows.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we show that $\text{Pf}(\Phi(u))$ is invariant under the action of GL_n . In Section 3, we calculate Pfaffian $\text{Pf}(\Phi(u))$ and show that it provides a generating function for $\{\Gamma_k\}$ with coefficient essentially equal to the Hermite polynomial.

2. INVARIANT DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

As in the Introduction, let π denote the representation of GL_n on $\mathbb{C}[\text{Alt}_n]$ defined by (1.2), and let $M_{i,j}$ and $D_{i,j}$ denote the multiplication operator by $x_{i,j}$ and the derivation $\partial_{i,j}$, respectively. The conjugation by $\pi(g)$ of them are given by the following.

Lemma 2.1. *We have*

$$\pi(g)D_{i,j}\pi(g)^{-1} = \sum_{a < b} \det(g_{i,j}^{a,b}) D_{a,b}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\pi(g)M_{i,j}\pi(g)^{-1} = \sum_{a < b} \det((g^{-1})_{a,b}^{i,j}) M_{a,b} \tag{2.2}$$

for all $g = (g_{a,b})_{a,b} \in \text{GL}_n$, where $g_{i,j}^{a,b}$ denotes a 2×2 submatrix of g whose row- and column indices are in $\{a, b\}$ and $\{i, j\}$, respectively.

Proof. First, we note that

$$g(E_{i,j} - E_{j,i})g^t = \sum_{a < b} \det(g_{i,j}^{a,b}) (E_{a,b} - E_{b,a}). \tag{2.3}$$

Therefore, setting $x = \sum_{a < b} x_{a,b} (E_{a,b} - E_{b,a})$, we have

$$\pi(g)D_{i,j}\pi(g)^{-1} f(x) = \left. \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0} f(x + \epsilon g(E_{i,j} - E_{j,i})g^t)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} f \left(\sum_{a<b} (x_{a,b} + \epsilon \det(g_{i,j}^{a,b})) E_{a,b} \right) \\
&= \sum_{a<b} \det(g_{i,j}^{a,b}) D_{a,b} f(x),
\end{aligned}$$

and hence obtain the first formula.

As for the multiplication operator $M_{i,j}$, it follows from (2.3) that the (i, j) -th entry of $g^{-1}x(g^t)^{-1}$ equals $\sum_{a<b} \det((g^{-1})_{a,b}^{i,j}) x_{a,b}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(g)M_{i,j}\pi(g)^{-1}f(x) &= (M_{i,j}\pi(g)^{-1}f)(g^{-1}x(g^t)^{-1}) \\
&= \sum_{a<b} \det((g^{-1})_{a,b}^{i,j}) x_{a,b} (\pi(g)^{-1}f)(g^{-1}x(g^t)^{-1}) \\
&= \sum_{a<b} \det((g^{-1})_{a,b}^{i,j}) M_{a,b}f(x).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Henceforth, we will use $x_{i,j}$ and $\partial_{i,j}$ to denote $M_{i,j}$ and $D_{i,j}$ for simplicity.

For $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n$ and $\mathbf{X} = (X_{i,j}) \in \mathrm{Mat}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{PD}(\mathrm{Alt}_n)$, let us denote by $\mathrm{Ad}_{\pi(g)}(\mathbf{X})$ the $2n \times 2n$ matrix whose (i, j) -th entry is given by $\pi(g)X_{i,j}\pi(g)^{-1}$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, 2n$, following [7]. Furthermore, let $\mathrm{SO}_{2n} := \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_{2n}; g^t J_{2n} g = J_{2n}, \det g = 1\}$, and ι the embedding of GL_n into SO_{2n} given by

$$\iota : g \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & J_n(g^t)^{-1}J_n \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.4)$$

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\Phi(u)$ be the matrix given by (1.6). Then we have*

$$\mathrm{Ad}_{\pi(g)}(\Phi(u)) = \iota(g^t)\Phi(u)\iota(g^t)^{-1} \quad (2.5)$$

for all $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n$.

Proof. If we denote the $n \times n$ matrices $(\pi(g)\partial_{i,j}\pi(g)^{-1})_{i,j}$ and $(\pi(g)x_{i,j}\pi(g)^{-1})_{i,j}$ by $\tilde{D}$ and $\tilde{M}$, respectively, then the left-hand side of (2.5) can be written as

$$\mathrm{Ad}_{\pi(g)}(\Phi(u)) = \begin{bmatrix} u1_n & \tilde{D}J_n \\ -J_n\tilde{M} & -u1_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

On the other hand, since the upper-right block and the lower-left block of $\Phi(u)$ can be written as DJ_n and $-J_nM$, respectively, the right-hand side of (2.5) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&\begin{bmatrix} g^t & \\ & J_n g^{-1} J_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u1_n & DJ_n \\ -J_n M & -u1_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (g^t)^{-1} & \\ & J_n g J_n \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} u1_n & g^t D g J_n \\ -J_n g^{-1} M (g^t)^{-1} & -u1_n \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, it follows from (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned}
g^t D g &= \sum_{i<j} \partial_{i,j} g^t (E_{i,j} - E_{j,i}) g \\
&= \sum_{i<j, a<b} \det(g_{i,j}^{a,b}) \partial_{a,b} (E_{i,j} - E_{j,i}),
\end{aligned}$$

which equals the matrix $\tilde{D}$ by Lemma 2.1. The same calculation shows that $g^{-1}M(g^t)^{-1} = \tilde{M}$. Thus we obtain the proposition. $\square$

As in the commutative case, the noncommutative Pfaffian transforms under the action of $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{K})$ as follows (see [7]).

Lemma 2.3. *Let X be an anti-alternating matrix with coefficient in $\mathcal{A}$. For $g \in \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{K})$, we have*

$$\mathrm{Pf}(gXg^t) = \det g \mathrm{Pf}(X),$$

where we set $g^t := J_{2n}g^t J_{2n}$ for brevity.

By Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, we obtain the following.

Corollary 2.4. *The Pfaffian $\mathrm{Pf}(\Phi(u)) \in \mathcal{PD}(\mathrm{Alt}_n)$ is invariant under the action of GL_n . Namely, we have*

$$\pi(g) \mathrm{Pf}(\Phi(u)) \pi(g)^{-1} = \mathrm{Pf}(\Phi(u))$$

for all $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n$.

3. GENERATING FUNCTION

In this section, we show that Pfaffian $\mathrm{Pf}(\Phi(u))$ of the matrix $\Phi(u)$ given by (1.6) provides a generating function for the invariant differential operators $\{\Gamma_k\}$ with coefficients the Hermite polynomials, which, combined with Corollary 2.4, implies that each Γ_k is GL_n -invariant.

As is well known, Pfaffian is closely connected with the exterior algebra. Denoting by $[\pm n]$ the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n, -n, \dots, -2, -1\}$, let V be a $2n$ -dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with a basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in [\pm n]}$ and $\bigwedge^\bullet V$ the exterior algebra associated to V . For $\omega, \theta \in \bigwedge^\bullet V$, write the exterior product $\omega \wedge \theta$ as $\omega\theta$ for short. Furthermore, let $\bigwedge^\bullet V \otimes \mathcal{A}$ be the exterior algebra with coefficient in $\mathcal{A}$, whose product is determined by

$$(\omega \otimes X)(\theta \otimes Y) := \omega\theta \otimes XY$$

for $\omega, \theta \in \bigwedge^\bullet V$ and $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$.

To an anti-alternating matrix $X = (X_{i,j})_{i,j \in [\pm n]}$ with $X_{i,j} \in \mathcal{A}$, we associate a 2-form Ξ_X defined by

$$\Xi_X := \sum_{i,j \in [\pm n]} e_i e_{-j} \otimes X_{i,j} \in \bigwedge^2 V \otimes \mathcal{A}. \quad (3.1)$$

Then the Pfaffian $\mathrm{Pf}(X)$ is the coefficient of the volume form $e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n e_{-n} \cdots e_{-1}$ in Ξ_X^n divided by $2^n n!$:

$$\Xi_X^n = 2^n n! e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n e_{-n} \cdots e_{-1} \otimes \mathrm{Pf}(X). \quad (3.2)$$

Henceforth, to keep formulas concise, for a subset $I = \{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k\} \subset [n]$, put $-I := \{-i_k < \cdots < -i_2 < -i_1\}$ and write e_I and e_{-I} instead of $e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \cdots e_{i_k}$ and $e_{-i_k} \cdots e_{-i_2} e_{-i_1}$, respectively; for $\omega \in \bigwedge^\bullet V$ and $X \in \mathcal{A}$, write ωX instead of $\omega \otimes X$.

Now take $\mathcal{A}$ to be $\mathcal{PD}(\mathrm{Alt}_n)$, and define 2-forms $\tau, \Theta_-, \Theta_+ \in \bigwedge^2 V \otimes \mathcal{PD}(\mathrm{Alt}_n)$ by

$$\tau := \sum_{i,j \in [n]} e_i e_{-i}, \quad \Theta_- := \sum_{i,j \in [n]} e_i e_j x_{i,j}, \quad \Theta_+ := \sum_{i,j \in [n]} e_{-j} e_{-i} \partial_{i,j}. \quad (3.3)$$

Then $\Omega := \Theta_- + 2u\tau + \Theta_+$ is the 2-form corresponding to $\Phi(u)$ under (3.1), and $\text{Pf}(\Phi(u))$ is the coefficient of volume form $e_{[n]}e_{-[n]}$ in Ω^n divided by $2^n n!$.

Lemma 3.1. *We have the following commutation relations among τ , Θ_- and Θ_+ :*

$$[\tau, \Theta_-] = [\tau, \Theta_+] = 0, \quad [\Theta_+, \Theta_-] = 2\tau^2. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. These follow from easy calculation. For example, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} [\Theta_+, \Theta_-] &= 4 \sum_{i < j, k < l} (e_{-j}e_{-i}e_k e_l \partial_{i,j} x_{k,l} - e_k e_l e_{-j}e_{-i} x_{k,l} \partial_{i,j}) \\ &= 4 \sum_{i < j, k < l} e_{-j}e_{-i}e_k e_l [\partial_{i,j}, x_{k,l}] = 4 \sum_{i < j} e_i e_j e_{-j} e_{-i}, \end{aligned}$$

while,

$$\tau^2 = \sum_{i,j} e_i e_{-i} e_j e_{-j} = \left(\sum_{i < j} + \sum_{i > j} \right) e_i e_{-i} e_j e_{-j} = 2 \sum_{i < j} e_i e_j e_{-j} e_{-i}.$$

□

Let $\circ \cdot \circ$ be the normal ordering in $\mathcal{PD}(\text{Alt}_n)$, i.e. the linear map of Alt_n into itself determined by

$$\circ \partial_{i,j} P \circ = \circ P \partial_{i,j} \circ = P \partial_{i,j}, \quad \circ x_{i,j} P \circ = \circ P x_{i,j} \circ = x_{i,j} P, \quad \circ 1 \circ = 1$$

for any $P \in \mathcal{PD}(\text{Alt}_n)$ and i, j . We extend it to $\wedge^\bullet V \otimes \mathcal{PD}(\text{Alt}_n)$ canonically. Then by definition, we obtain that

$$\circ (\Theta_- + \Theta_+)^m \circ = \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} \Theta_-^k \Theta_+^{m-k} \quad (3.5)$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let m be a nonnegative integer. Then we have*

$$(\Theta_- + \Theta_+)^m = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} c_k(m) (2\tau^2)^k \circ (\Theta_- + \Theta_+)^{m-2k} \circ, \quad (3.6)$$

where $c_k(m)$ are given by

$$c_k(m) = \frac{m!}{2^k k! (m-2k)!} \quad (3.7)$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$, and $c_k(m) = 0$ for $k < 0$ and $k > \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$.

We need the following lemma to prove the proposition, though we will only use the case where $a = 1$.

Lemma 3.3. *For nonnegative integers a and b , we have*

$$\Theta_+^a \Theta_-^b = \sum_{k=0}^{\min(a,b)} \frac{a^{\underline{k}} b^{\underline{k}}}{k!} (2\tau^2)^k \Theta_-^{b-k} \Theta_+^{a-k}, \quad (3.8)$$

where, for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $z^{\underline{k}}$ denotes the descending factorial $z(z-1)\cdots(z-k+1)$. Note that $z^{\underline{k}} = 0$ if $z \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k > z$.

Proof. In view of the convention about the descending factorial, we can assume that $a \leq b$ in (3.8). Now we use induction on a . It is trivial if $a = 0$. Suppose it is true for some $a \geq 0$. Then applying Lemma 3.1, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Theta_+^{a+1} \Theta_-^b &= \sum_{k=0}^a \binom{a}{k} b^{\underline{k}} (2\tau^2)^k \Theta_+^{b-k} \Theta_-^{a-k} \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^a \binom{a}{k} b^{\underline{k}} (2\tau^2)^k \left(\Theta_-^{b-k} \Theta_+^{a+1-k} + [\Theta_+, \Theta_-^{b-k}] \Theta_+^{a-k} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^a \binom{a}{k} b^{\underline{k}} (2\tau^2)^k \left(\Theta_-^{b-k} \Theta_+^{a+1-k} + (b-k) 2\tau^2 \Theta_+^{b-1-k} \Theta_-^{a-k} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^a \binom{a}{k} b^{\underline{k}} (2\tau^2)^k \Theta_-^{b-k} \Theta_+^{a+1-k} + \sum_{k=0}^a \binom{a}{k} b^{\underline{k+1}} (2\tau^2)^{k+1} \Theta_-^{b-1-k} \Theta_+^{a-k} \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{a+1} \binom{a+1}{k} b^{\underline{k}} (2\tau^2)^k \Theta_-^{b-k} \Theta_+^{a+1-k}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 3.2. Use induction on m . There is nothing to prove when $m = 0$. Suppose that (3.6) is true for some $m \geq 0$. Multiplying (3.6) by $\Theta_- + \Theta_+$ from the left, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\Theta_- + \Theta_+)^{m+1} \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} c_k(m) (2\tau^2)^k \sum_{s=0}^{m-2k} \binom{m-2k}{s} \left(\Theta_-^{s+1} \Theta_+^{m-2k-s} + \Theta_+ \Theta_-^s \Theta_+^{m-2k-s} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} c_k(m) (2\tau^2)^k \sum_{s=0}^{m-2k} \binom{m-2k}{s} \left(\Theta_-^{s+1} \Theta_+^{m-2k-s} + \Theta_-^s \Theta_+^{m+1-2k-s} + s 2\tau^2 \Theta_-^{s-1} \Theta_+^{m-2k-s} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, in the inner summation, since $\binom{m-2k}{s-1} + \binom{m-2k}{s} = \binom{m+1-2k}{s}$, the first and second sums equal

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{s=0}^{m-2k} \binom{m-2k}{s} \left(\Theta_-^{s+1} \Theta_+^{m-2k-s} + \Theta_-^s \Theta_+^{m+1-2k-s} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{s=0}^{m+1-2k} \binom{m+1-2k}{s} \Theta_-^s \Theta_+^{m+1-2k-s} \\
 &= {}^\circ (\Theta_- + \Theta_+)^{m+1-2k} {}^\circ,
 \end{aligned}$$

while the last equals

$$\sum_{s=0}^{m-2k} \binom{m-2k}{s} s 2\tau^2 \Theta_-^{s-1} \Theta_+^{m-2k-s}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (m-2k)2\tau^2 \sum_{s=0}^{m-1-2k} \binom{m-1-2k}{s} \theta_-^s \theta_+^{m-1-2k-s} \\
&= (m-2k)2\tau^2 \circ (\theta_- + \theta_+)^{m-1-2k} \circ.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
(\theta_- + \theta_+)^{m+1} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} c_k(m) (2\tau^2)_{\circ}^{k\circ} (\theta_- + \theta_+)^{m+1-2k} \circ \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 1} (m+2-2k) c_{k-1}(m) (2\tau^2)_{\circ}^{k\circ} (\theta_- + \theta_+)^{m+1-2k} \circ.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it suffices to show that

$$c_k(m+1) = c_k(m) + (m+2-2k)c_{k-1}(m), \quad (3.9)$$

which follows immediately from the definition (3.7) of $c_k(m)$. In fact, the right-hand side of (3.9) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{m!}{2^k k! (m-2k)!} + (m+2-2k) \frac{m!}{2^{k-1} (k-1)! (m-2k+2)!} \\
&= \frac{(m+1)!}{2^k k! (m-2k)!} = c_k(m+1).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence (3.6) is true for $m+1$. $\square$

Remark 3.4. Proposition 3.2 holds true in a more general situation. Namely, let $\mathcal{A}$ be a noncommutative associative algebra over an arbitrary field of characteristic 0, and A, B two elements of $\mathcal{A}$ such that their commutator $[A, B] := AB - BA$ commutes with both A and B :

$$[A, [A, B]] = [B, [A, B]] = 0.$$

Then exactly the same argument as in the proposition yields the following formula:

$$(A+B)^m = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} c_k(m) ([A, B])^{2k} \sum_{s=0}^{m-2k} \binom{m-2k}{s} B^s A^{m-2k-s}$$

with $c_k(m)$ given by (3.7).

Now we are ready.

Theorem 3.5. *The Pfaffian $\text{Pf}(\Phi(u))$ provides a generating function for the GL_n -invariant differential operators $\{\Gamma_k\}$:*

$$\text{Pf}(\Phi(u)) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} a_{n-2k}(u) \Gamma_k,$$

where $a_m(u)$ are monic polynomials in u given by

$$a_m(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} \frac{m!}{2^{2k} (m-2k)! k!} u^{m-2k}.$$

for $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Omega^n &= \sum_{p=0}^n \binom{n}{p} (2u\tau)^{n-p} (\Theta_- + \Theta_+)^p \\
 &= \sum_{p=0}^n \sum_{q=0}^{\lfloor p/2 \rfloor} \frac{n!}{(n-p)! q! (p-2q)!} (2u\tau)^{n-p} (\Theta_- + \Theta_+)^{p-2q} \\
 &= \sum_{p=0}^n \sum_{q=0}^{\lfloor p/2 \rfloor} \sum_{\substack{r,s \geq 0 \\ r+s=p-2q}} \frac{n!}{(n-p)! k! r! s!} (2u\tau)^{n-p+2q} \Theta_-^r \Theta_+^s. \tag{3.10}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the relations

$$\Theta_-^r = 2^r r! \sum_{I \in \binom{[n]}{2r}} e_I \text{Pf}(x_I) \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta_+^s = 2^s s! \sum_{J \in \binom{[n]}{2s}} e_{-J} \text{Pf}(\partial_J),$$

we obtain

$$\Omega^n = \sum_{p=0}^n \sum_{q=0}^{\lfloor p/2 \rfloor} \sum_{r+s=p-2q} \frac{n!}{(n-p)! q!} 2^{n-2q} u^{n-p} \tau^{n-p+2q} \sum_{I \in \binom{[n]}{2r}, J \in \binom{[n]}{2s}} e_{-I} e_J \text{Pf}(x_I) \text{Pf}(\partial_J). \tag{3.11}$$

With τ^{n-p+2q} in (3.11) expanded as

$$\tau^{n-p+2q} = (n-p+2q)! \sum_{K \in \binom{[n]}{n-p+2q}} e_K e_{-K},$$

the only terms that survive in the summation $\sum_{K,I,J}$ are those corresponding to $I = J = [n] \setminus K$; in particular, $r = s$ and p is even. Thus the sum $\sum_{K,I,J}$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{I \in \binom{[n]}{2s}} e_{[n] \setminus I} e_{-[n] \setminus -I} e_I e_{-I} \text{Pf}(x_I) \text{Pf}(\partial_I) \\
 &= \sum_{I \in \binom{[n]}{2s}} \text{sgn} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} [n] \\ [n] \setminus I, I \end{smallmatrix} \right) e_{[n]} \text{sgn} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} -[n] \\ -[n] \setminus -I, -I \end{smallmatrix} \right) e_{-[n]} \text{Pf}(x_I) \text{Pf}(\partial_I) \\
 &= e_{[n]} e_{-[n]} \sum_{I \in \binom{[n]}{2s}} \text{Pf}(x_I) \text{Pf}(\partial_I)
 \end{aligned}$$

since $\text{sgn} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} [n] \\ [n] \setminus I, I \end{smallmatrix} \right) = \text{sgn} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} -[n] \\ -[n] \setminus -I, -I \end{smallmatrix} \right)$. Letting $p = 2\nu$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Pf}(\Phi(u)) &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{u^{n-2\nu}}{(n-2\nu)!} \sum_{s=0}^{\nu} \frac{(n-2s)!}{(\nu-s)! 2^{2(\nu-s)}} \Gamma_s \\
 &= \sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \sum_{\nu=s}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{(n-2s)!}{(n-2\nu)! (\nu-s)! 2^{2(\nu-s)}} u^{n-2\nu} \Gamma_s \\
 &= \sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - s} \frac{(n-2s)!}{(n-2s-2r)! r! 2^{2r}} u^{n-2s-2r} \Gamma_s
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} a_{n-2s}(u) \Gamma_s.$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

The polynomials $a_m(u)$ are essentially equal to the Hermite polynomials given by $H_m(x) = (-1)^m e^{x^2} \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^m e^{-x^2}$. In fact, it is well known that the generating function for $H_m(x)$ is given by

$$e^{2tx-t^2} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^m}{m!} H_m(x),$$

from which one can derive that

$$H_m(x) = m! \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^k 2^{m-2k}}{k!(m-2k)!} x^{m-2k}.$$

Therefore, $a_m(u) = \left(-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\right)^m H_m(\sqrt{-1}u)$, and we obtain (1.5).

REFERENCES

1. R. Goodman and N. W. Wallach, *Representations and invariants of the classical groups*, Encyclopedia of Math. its Appl., vol. 68, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998.
2. T. Hashimoto, *On the principal symbols of $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -invariant differential operators on Hermitian symmetric spaces*, arXiv:0804.4038 [math.RT].
3. ———, *A central element in the universal enveloping algebra of type D_n via minor summation formula of Pfaffians*, J. Lie Theory **18** (2008), 581–594.
4. R. Howe and T. Umeda, *The Capelli identity, the double commutant theorem, and multiplicity-free actions*, Math. Ann. **290** (1991), 565–619.
5. M. Ishikawa and M. Wakayama, *Application of minor summation formula III, Plücker relations, lattice paths and Pfaffian identities*, J. Comb. Theory A **113** (2006), 113–155.
6. M. Itoh, *A Cayley-Hamilton theorem for the skew Capelli elements*, J. Algebra. **242** (2001), 740–761.
7. M. Itoh and T. Umeda, *On central elements in the universal enveloping algebras of the orthogonal Lie algebra*, Compositio Math. **127** (2001), 333–359.
8. K. Kinoshita and M. Wakayama, *Explicit Capelli identities for skew symmetric matrices*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **45** (2002), 449–465.

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING, TOTTORI UNIVERSITY, 4-101, KOYAMA-MINAMI, TOTTORI, 680-8552, JAPAN
E-mail address: thashi@ike.tottori-u.ac.jp